

PCT

REC'D 1 4 APR 2005

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 02 DC 31 E International application No. PCT/IT 02/00773		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
		International filing date (day/n 10.12.2002	nonth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
Internationa C23C16/4	Patent Classification (IPC) o	l r both national classification and IP	C	10.12.2002
Applicant ETC SRL	et al.			
			<u> </u>	
1. This in Autho	nternational preliminary ex rity and is transmitted to th	amination report has been prep e applicant according to Article	pared by this In 36.	nternational Preliminary Examining
		of 4 sheets, including this cov		
		oo, or the Administrative Inst	of the descrip ets containing	tion, claims and/or drawings which have rectifications made before this Authority
These	annexes consist of a total	of 3 sheets.		tile PCT).
	oort contains indications re			
	Basis of the opinion	lating to the following items:		
This rep	Basis of the opinion Priority	lating to the following items:		
This rep	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of invention	lating to the following items: pinion with regard to novelty, in		
This rep I	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement in	lating to the following items: pinion with regard to novelty, in		
This rep I	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement uncitations and explanation Certain documents cited	lating to the following items: pinion with regard to novelty, in on nder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard ons supporting such statement		and industrial applicability ventive step or industrial applicability;
This rep I	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement un citations and explanation Certain documents cited Certain defects in the in	lating to the following items: spinion with regard to novelty, in the following such statement of ternational application		
This rep II □ III □ V ☑ VI □	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement un citations and explanation Certain documents cited Certain defects in the in	lating to the following items: pinion with regard to novelty, in on nder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard ons supporting such statement		
This rep II	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement un citations and explanation Certain documents cited Certain defects in the in Certain observations on	lating to the following items: spinion with regard to novelty, in the following such statement of ternational application		ventive step or industrial applicability;
This rep II	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of o Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement un citations and explanation Certain documents cited Certain defects in the in	lating to the following items: spinion with regard to novelty, in on a control of the following such statement of the following such statement of the international application the international application	d to novelty, inv	ventive step or industrial applicability;
This rep I	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of of Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement uncitations and explanation Certain documents cited Certain defects in the in Certain observations on	lating to the following items: spinion with regard to novelty, in on a control of the following such statement of the following such statement of the international application the international application	d to novelty, inv	ventive step or industrial applicability;
This rep I	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of of Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement uncitations and explanation Certain documents cited Certain defects in the information of the demand address of the international oning authority:	lating to the following items: spinion with regard to novelty, in on one of the following such statement of ternational application the international application Date of c	ompletion of this	ventive step or industrial applicability;
This republic republi	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of of Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement uncitations and explanation Certain documents cited Certain defects in the information of the demand	lating to the following items: pinion with regard to novelty, in on one of the following such statement of the international application of the international applic	ompletion of this	ventive step or industrial applicability;

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IT 02/00773

I. Basis of the report 1. With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)): Description, Pages 1-12 as originally filed Claims, Numbers 1-21 received on 23.03.2005 with letter of 21.03.2005 **Drawings, Sheets** 1-3 as originally filed 2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: . which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (January 2004)

the description,

the claims,

the drawings,

 \boxtimes

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

pages:

sheets:

22-26

Nos.:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/IT 02/00773

э. Ц	this report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).
	(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to the

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-21

No:

No:

Claims

Yes: Claims Claims

1-21

Industrial applicability (IA)

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-21

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

International application No. PCT/IT 02/00773

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: WO 02/38839 A (Aixtron AG; Kaeppeler Johannes (DE); Wischmeyer Frank (DE); Berge) 16 May 2002 (2002-05-16)
 - D2: US-A-5 792 257 (Janzen Erik et al) 11 August 1998 (1998-08-11)
 - D3: US-A-4 794 217 (Li Zhi Jian et al) 27 December 1988 (1988-12-27)
 - D4: WO 02/38838 A (Aixtron AG; Kaeppeler Johannes (DE); Wischmeyer Frank (DE); Berge) 16 May 2002 (2002-05-16)
 - D5: US-A-5 695 567 (Nilsson Roger et al) 9 December 1997 (1997-12-09)
 - D6: GB-A-1 458 222 (Electricity Council) 8 December 1976 (1976-12-08)
- 2). A susceptor system characterized by having a hollow suceptor element having at least a through hole extending in a longitudinal direction is neither described by any of the documents D1-D6 cited in the international search report nor suggested by the combination of their teachings.
 - This feature leads to a confinement of the currents induced by the heating solenoid to the peripheral region and thus to them flowing very close to the treatment chamber.
 - Claims 1 to 13 are therefore considered to meet the requirements of Art. 33 PCT.
- Since claims 14 to 20 deal with an apparatus which comprises a susceptor according to claims 1 to 13, claims 14 to 20 are also considered to meet the requirements of Art. 33 PCT.
- 4). The description is not in conformity with the claims as required by Rule 5.1(a)(iii) PCT, since said through hole is an essential feature of the invention (Article 6 PCT).